

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,266		09/07/2004	Jonathan D. Albert	H-310DIV	5265
26245	7590	10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
DAVID J COLE				PATEL, NITIN	
E INK CORPORATION 733 CONCORD AVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-1002			2629		
•				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/711,266	ALBERT, JONATHAN D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nitin Patel	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	eptember 2004.					
2a)[
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/2005</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-23 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1-26 of (U.S. Patent No. 6,816,147). When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claims 1-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-26 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,816,147. This is a double patenting rejection.

As per claim 1, Albert shows an electro-optic display comprising: a bistable electro-optic material having on one side thereof a viewable surface visible to an observer viewing the display, and on the opposed side thereof a writing surface; a deformable member contacting the writing surface of the electro-optic material, the deformable member having a plurality of electrodes formed on its surface contacting the writing surface of the electro-optic material; and a movable member disposed on the opposed side of the deformable member from the electro-optic material and movable

relative to the electro-optic material, the movable member urging the deformable member into contact with the electro-optic material such that movement of the movable member relative to the electro-optic material will cause the area of contact between the deformable member and the electro-optic material to move across the writing surface of the electro-optic material (in claim 1 of '147).

As per claim 2, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein the electro-optic material is an encapsulated electrophoretic material (in claim 2 of '147).

As per claim 3, Albert teaches an electro-optic display according to claim 2 wherein the encapsulated electrophoretic material comprises a plurality of capsules each of which contains one or more species of charged particles in a suspending fluid, the charged particles being capable of moving through the fluid upon application of an electric field to the material (In claim 3 of '147).

As per claim 4, Albert also teach an electro-optic display according to claim 2 wherein the encapsulated electrophoretic material comprises a plurality of capsules each of which contains, in a substantially uncolored suspending fluid, at least two species of charged particles differing in at least one optical characteristic and having differing electrophoretic mobilities, the charged particles being capable of moving through the fluid upon application of an electric field to the material 9In claim 4 of '147).

As per claim 5, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 2 wherein the encapsulated electrophoretic material comprises a two-phase electrophoretic medium comprising a continuous phase and a discontinuous phase, the

Application/Control Number: 10/711,266

Art Unit: 2629

discontinuous phase comprising a plurality of droplets, each of which comprises a suspending fluid and at least one particle disposed within the suspending fluid and capable of moving through the fluid upon application of an electric field to the material, and the continuous phase surrounding and encapsulating the discontinuous phase 9in claim 5 of '147).

As per claim 6, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein the electro-optic material is a rotating bichromal member material (in claim 6 of '147).

As per claim 7, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein the deformable member has the form of a thin sheet of a flexible material (in claim 7 of '147).

As per claim 8, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 7 wherein the deformable member is provided with tensioning means for holding the deformable member under tension such that portions of the deformable member not in contact with the movable member will be held spaced from the electro-optic material (In claim 8 of '147).

As per claim 9, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 7 further comprising a layer of liquid or pressurized gas disposed between the electro-optic material and the deformable member such that portions of the deformable member not in contact with the movable member will be held spaced from the electro-optic material (In claim 9 of '147).

As per claim 10, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1

Application/Control Number: 10/711,266

Art Unit: 2629

wherein the electrodes are formed by printing a conductive ink on to the deformable member (In claim 10 of '147).

As per claim 11, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein at least one of the deformable member and the movable member is provided with a friction-reducing layer (in claim 11 of '147).

As per claim 12, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein the movable member is rotatable such that the movable member can roll across the surface of the deformable member (in claim 12 of '147).

As per claim 13, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 wherein at least the portion of the movable member which contacts the deformable member is itself deformable (in claim 13 of '147).

As per claim 14, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 13 wherein the movable member is rotatable and comprises a substantially rigid core and a deformable sleeve surrounding the core and contacting the deformable member 9in claim 14 of '147).

As per claim 15, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 1 further comprising sealing means for preventing entry of small particles between the electro-optic material and the deformable member (in claim 16 of '147).

As per claim 16, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 16 wherein the sealing means comprises a sealing member sealingly engaged with peripheral portions of both the electro-optic material and the deformable member so as to form a closed chamber between the writing surface of the electro-optic material and

Art Unit: 2629

the electrode-carrying surface of the deformable member (in claim 17 of '147).

As per claim 17, Albert shows an electro-optic display according to claim 15 wherein the sealing means comprises a sealed housing enclosing the electro-optic material, the deformable member and the movable member (In claim 18 of '147).

As per claim 18, Albert shows a method for addressing an electro-optic display, this electro-optic display comprising: a bistable electro-optic material having on one side thereof a viewable surface visible to an observer viewing the display, and on the opposed side thereof a writing surface; a deformable member contacting the writing surface of the electro-optic material, the deformable member having a plurality of electrodes formed on its surface contacting the writing surface of the electro-optic material; and a movable member disposed on the opposed side of the deformable member from the electro-optic material and movable relative to the electro-optic material, the movable member urging the deformable member into contact with the electro-optic material such that movement of the movable member relative to the electro-optic material will cause the area of contact between the deformable member and the electro-optic material to move across the writing surface of the electro-optic material, the method comprising: placing the movable member in a first position relative to the electro-optic material and placing a first set of potentials on the electrodes, thereby writing a first column of pixels of the display, each of this column of pixels being defined by the portion of one electrode which contacts the writing surface of the electrooptic material when the movable member is in its first position; and moving the movable member to a second position relative to the electro-optic material, this second position

being spaced from the first position, and placing a second set of potentials are placed on the electrodes, at least one of the second set of potentials differing from the first set of potentials, thereby writing a second column of pixels of the display, each of this column of pixels being defined by the portion of one electrode which contacts the writing surface of the electro-optic material when the movable member is in its second position (in claim 19 of '147).

As per claim 19, Albert shows a method according to claim 18 further comprising holding the deformable member under tension such that portions of the deformable member not in contact with the movable member are held spaced from the movable member (in claim 20 of '147).

As per claim 20, Albert shows a method according to claim 18 further comprising providing the electrodes by printing a conductive ink on to the deformable member (in claim 22 of '147).

As per claim 21, Albert shows a method according to claim 19 wherein the movable member is rotatable and rolls across the surface of the deformable member (in claim 23 of '147).

As per claim 22, Albert shows a method according to claim 21 wherein the movable member comprises a substantially rigid core and a deformable sleeve surrounding the core and contacting the deformable member (in claim 24 of '147).

As per claim 23, Albert shows a method according to claim 19 further comprising sealing the space between the electro-optic material and the deformable member to prevent entry of small particles therein (In claim 26 of '147).

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7677. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin H. Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nitin Patel Examiner Art Unit 2629 Net Fall